

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/890,199 01/16/2002		Walter Haussecker	1707	7805		
7	7590 08/25/2003					
Striker Striker & Stenby			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
103 East Neck Road Huntington, NY 11743			MCANULTY,	ТІМОТНҮ Р		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3682			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

t									
1			Applicatio	n No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/890,199	)	HAUSSECKER ET AL.				
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			Timothy P I		3682				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply	nication ap <sub>l</sub>	pears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
THE N - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION is of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (period for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply ply received by the Office later than three months dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.1 munication. 30) days, a repitatutory period y will, by statute	136(a). In no ever by within the statut will apply and will e, cause the applic	t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a ation to become ABANDONEI	ely filed will be considered time the mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>05</u>	<u>June 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b)□ Th	his action is r	on-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims									
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 2-5 and 7-15 is/are pendi	ng in the a	pplication.						
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-11</u> is/ar	e withdraw	n from consi	deration.					
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2-5 and 12-15</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application	on Papers								
9) 🗌 7	The specification is objected to by th	ne Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.									
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.									
•	he oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Ex	xamıner.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
•	All b) Some * c) None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No									
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
	☐ The translation of the foreign la cknowledgment is made of a claim								
Attachment	(s)		-						
2) 🔲 Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review ( nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F			4) Interview Summary 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/890,199

Art Unit: 3682

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

- 1. Claim 12 is objected to because of the following informalities:
  - a. in line 7 of claim 12, "at" should be inserted immediately after --at--;
  - b. in line 4 of claim 12, "disc" should be changed to --disk-- to be consistent with other instances of the term "disk", see line 2 of claim 12.

Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 2-5 and 12-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by French Patent Publication 2 741 416.

French Patent Publication 2 741 416 discloses in figure 2, a worm gear 2 meshing with a spur-toothed wheel 3 wherein said spur-toothed wheel comprises a generally cylindrical first wheel disc 4; a generally truncated cone shaped second wheel disc in contact with said first wheel disc at a first interface; and a generally truncated cone shaped third wheel disc in contact with said first wheel disc at a second interface. Each of said first, second, and third wheel discs having helical gearing and a plurality of teeth including crests on a periphery thereof, said crests of said plurality of teeth on said second and third wheel discs being inclined radially inward towards said first interface and said second interface respectfully.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, the limitation as to the spur tooth wheel being designed as a single piece and produced by injection molding does not further limit the invention as claimed.

Application/Control Number: 09/890,199

Art Unit: 3682

Even though product-by-process claims are limited and defined by the process, determination of patentability is based on the product itself. The patentability of a product does not depend on its method of production. See MPEP §2113.

### Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12 and 13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Although the teeth of Voigtlander et al. may not converge radially inward, the teeth of French Paten Publication 2 741 416 do converge radially inward.

#### Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3682

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the 6. examiner should be directed to Timothy P McAnulty whose telephone number is 703.308.8684. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (7:30-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Bucci can be reached on 703.308.3668. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703.872.9326 for regular communications and 703.872.9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703.308.1113.

MARCUS CHARLES PRIMARY 3682 8/21/03